UNCLASSIFIED

BMDO RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JUS	TIFICA	TION (R	R-2 Exhi	bit)		DATE Fe	bruary 20	000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstration and Validation			JMBER AND 1 3869C N	TITLE TEADS - [DEM/VAL	(PD-V)			ROJECT 1 262
COST (In Thousands)	FY1999 Actual	FY 2000 Estimate	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
1262 Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS)	11675	48594	63175	73645	131953	268558	274239	Continuing	TBD

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS) will defend the maneuver force and other critical forward-deployed assets against short and medium range Theater Ballistic Missiles (TBMs), cruise missiles, and other air-breathing threats throughout all phases of tactical operations. MEADS will operate both in an enclave with uppertier systems in areas of debarkation and assembly, and provide continuous coverage alone or with Forward Area Air Defense systems in the division area of the battlefield during movement to contact and decisive operations. MEADS will be interoperable with other airborne and ground-based sensors and utilize a netted and distributed architecture and modularly-configurable battle elements to provide a robust, 360-degree defense against the full spectrum of TBMs, cruise-missiles, unmanned-aerial-vehicles, tactical air to surface missiles, rotary-wing, and fixed-wing threats. MEADS will offer a significant improvement in tactical mobility and strategic deployability over comparable missile systems.

The MEADS program has been restructured to leverage the interceptor from the PATRIOT Advanced Capability – 3 (PAC-3) program and to extend the Program Definition / Validation (PD/V) phase with a three-year Risk Reduction Effort (RRE) that focuses on developing the critical technologies required for maneuver force protection and overall risk reduction.

There remains a critical void in maneuver force defense against short and medium range TBMs, cruise missiles, and low-to-medium altitude advanced air-breathing threats. This program will meet this challenge by integrating the PAC-3 missile and developing the critical technologies required for maneuver force protection, including development of a prototype lightweight launcher, 360-degree radar, and tactical operation center. Concepts will be validated through proof-of-principle testing capitalizing on the already programmed Air-Directed Surface-to-Air Missile (ADSAM) demo efforts. The PAC-3 missile will be the baseline interceptor considered for the effort. Sensor and battle management software technology from both U.S. and international programs will be examined to enhance and augment organic-equipment functions, reducing development cost and risk. Improvements will be balanced against costs and the projected threat to develop a U.S. and allied capability to counter the maneuver force threat. This approach emphasizes prototyping of system-specific and surrogate hardware in key areas of Battle Management/Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (BM/C4I), fire control radar, and lightweight launcher to satisfy mobility, strategic deployability and interoperability requirements. Cost As an Independent Variable (CAIV) analysis will be applied to the currently defined requirements. The Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) is responsible for overall program management and direction. The US Army, Program Executive Officer for Air and Missile Defense and the MEADS National Product Office execute the program for BMDO.

FY	1999	Accom	plishments:
----	------	-------	-------------

Project 1262 Page 2 of 5 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0603869C)

				DATE February 2000					
BUDGET A			PE NUMBER AND TITLE						
4 - Den	nonstrat	on and Validation	0603869C MEADS - DEM/VAL (PD	-					
•	9915	Transferred to Joint Land Attack Elevated Network S employment concepts.	Sensor (JLENS) Program Office for ADSAM to demonstr	ate advanced operational and weapon					
•	1760	MEADS/ADSAM Studies.							
Total	11675								
FY 2000	Planned P	ogram:							
•	38580		operational budget for the MEADS Risk Reduction Effor						
•	4156	Funding for government agencies and support contra	t of prototype launcher, fire control, BMC4I hardware an acts to provide technical analysis and tools in speciality a	reas of lethality, BMC4I, System					
_	£0£0	simulations, FAAD/MEADS integration as well as s	support of conducting independent evauations of contract	or trades and analysis.					
•	5858	contractors and other efforts tied to national support	t, and salaries for both the national and international prog of executing the replanned program.	ram offices. Includes U.S. support					
Total	48594								
FY 2001	Planned P	ogram:							
•	50980		ram office operational budget for the MEADS Risk Reductived development of prototype launcher, fire control, BMO						
	5025	and test planning.							
•	5837		ort contracts to provide technical analysis and tools in sp well as support of conducting independent evauations of						
•	6358	Funding for MEADS program management, support	t, and salaries for both the national and international prog						
Total	63175	contractors and other efforts tied to national support	of executing the replanned program.						
10001	00170								

UNCLASSIFIED

DATE BMDO RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit) February 2000 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 4 - Demonstration and Validation 0603869C MEADS - DEM/VAL (PD-V) 1262 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 **B. Program Change Summary** Previous President's Budget (FY 2000 PB) 9915 48597 63568 Appropriated Value 48597 Adjustments to Appropriated Value Congressional General Reductions -140 SBIR / STTR Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reductions Below Threshold Reprogramming -215 137 Rescissions Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 2000 PB +1975-393 Current Budget Submit (FY 2001 BES) 11675 48594 63175 Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY1999 (-215) Below Threshold Reprogramming Funding: FY1999 (+1915) provided to ADSAM consistent with FY2000 Appropriation language C. Other Program Funding Summary FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 To Total N/A Compl Cost D. Acquisition Strategy: The MEADS acquisition strategy included competition among two transatlantic industrial teams in the PD-V phase. The international program office awarded contracts in October 1996 to conduct the international industrial teaming and development. Deliverables included a total system concept based upon the International Technical Requirements Document, engineering design trades, and system models and simulations. During the PD-V phase, the two international entities prepared proposals and competed for the Design and Development and Production phases. As the Department and partner nations restructured the program, this phase concluded with the selection of a single contractor team to conduct the RRE. In this phase, technology from Germany, Italy and the United States, including the PAC-3 missile will be leveraged to define the most cost-effective solution to meet the MEADS operational requirements. The MEADS Product Office is also pursuing integration of MEADS BMC4I with the Project Manager, Air Defense Command and Control Systems (ADCCS) to take advantage of other Army developments that can be incorporated into the MEADS program.

E. Schedule Profile	<u>FY 1999</u>	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Complete PD-V Source Selection	3 rd Qtr						
Transition effort 6-month contract signed		1 st Qtr					
Three-year risk reduction effort contract signed		3 rd Qtr					

Project 1262 Page 3 of 5 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0603869C)

				February 2000
DGET ACTIVITY		MBER AND TITLE		
- Demonstration and Validation	0603	8869C MEADS - DE		
rogram review	1 st Qtr	1 st Qtr		
omponent testing completed		1 st Qtr 2 nd Qtr		
emonstrate MEADS functionality		2 nd Qtr		
esign and development phase contract award				

UNCLASSIFIED

BMDO RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R-3)									February 2000		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstration and Validation					PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603869C MEADS - DEM/VAL (PD-V)						PROJEC 1262
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. International Teaming	FFP	LM/H&R Teams	9605						9605		
b. Proj Def-Val (PD-V)c. Risk Reduction (RRE)	FFP TBD	NAMEADSMA NAMEADSMA	101672	38580	M 00	50980		TBD	101672 TBD		
c. Risk Reduction (RRE) Subtotal Product Development:	IBD	NAMEADSMA	111277	38580	May 00	50980		TBD	TBD		
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	4001	Target Value of Contract	
a. U.S. Anl of Alternatives	LOE/MIPR	MEADS Prod Ofc	2298						2298		
b. U.S. Contracts	LOE	MEADS Prod Ofc	3439						3439		
c. U.S. Other Govt Agcy Subtotal Support Costs:	MIPR	MEADS Prod Ofc	5282 11019	4156 4156		5837 5837		TBD TBD	TBD TBD		
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. Redstone Tech Test Ctr	MIPR	Huntsville, AL	253						253		
b. ADSAM		SMDC	9915						9915		
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:			10168						10168		
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. Internal Operating	In-House	MEADS Prod Ofc/NAMEADSMA	9507	5858		6358		TBD	TBD		
Subtotal Management Services:			9507	5858		6358		TBD	TBD		
Project Total Cost:	l		141971	48594		63175		TBD	TBD		
Remarks:	<u> </u>		1419/1	40394		031/3		ומסו	ועמו		
Project 1262				Page 5 of	°5 Pages			E	xhibit R-:	3 (PE 060386	69C)